



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/612,903	07/07/2003	Michele Carlet	37763/GM/br	4322
7:	590 01/10/2006		EXAMINER	
MODIANO & ASSOCIATI			SHAY, DAVID M	
Via Meravigli, Milano, 2012			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ITALY	-	3735		
			DATE MAILED: 01/10/2006	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/612,903	CARLET				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	david shay	3735				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app						
Period for Reply	ALC OFT TO EVEIDE AMONTH	C) OD TUDTY (20) DAYO				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on odd	ren 14,2005					
·=	<i>`</i> —					
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement					
o) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acce	epted or b) \square objected to by the $\mathfrak l$	Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	* *					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior		ed in this National Stage				
application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list		ad.				
See the attached detailed Since action for a list	or the definied dopies flot reserve					
Attachment(s)		(DTO 442)				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)				

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Page 2

Claims 1-4, 9, and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sullivan in combination with Anderson et al. Sullivan teaches a device such as claimed except for the sensor. Anderson et al.—Anderson et al teach the use of light to provide both diagnosis and treatment and the use of a diffusive cover. It would have been obvious to the artisan of ordinary skill to employ the diffusive cover of Anderson et al in the device of Sullivan, since this would provide diffusion, as taught by Sullivan, while allowing closer placement of the device to the patient, and in either case, to employ triple junction diodes, since these are commercially available and known in the art to be useful for producing multiple color combinations (see the instant specification, page 5, lines 16-22); and thus producing a device and method such as claimed.

Claims 5-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sullivan in combination with Anderson et al, as applied to claims 1-4, 9, and 10 and further in combination with Wilden. Wilden teaches the use of a sensor to determine whether or not the device is in a treatment position, and if it is, automatically turning the device on. It would have been obvious to the artisan of ordinary skill to employ the sensor and automatic treatment initiation electronics of Wilden in the device of Sullivan, since this would provide greater safety, as taught by Wilden, and to employ a second processor to interpret the data from the sensor and actuate the treatment; thus producing a device and method such as claimed.

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-10 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Art Unit: 3735

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to david shay whose telephone number is (571) 272-4773. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday through Friday from 6:30 a.m. to 5:00 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ali Imam, can be reached on Monday, Tuesday, Wednesday, and Thursday at (571) 272-4740. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

Application/Control Number: 10/612,903 Page 4

Art Unit: 3735

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

DAVID M. SHAY PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 330